arXiv:1611.02653v1 [math.FA] 8 Nov 2016

Conditional Square Functions and Dyadic Perturbations of the Sine-Cosine decomposition for Hardy Martingales

Paul F. X. Müller*

October 16, 2016

Abstract

We prove that the $\mathcal{P}-$norm estimates between a Hardy martingale and its cosine part are stable under dyadic perturbations.

AMS Subject Classification 2000: 60G42 , 60G46, 32A35

Key-words: Hardy Martingales, Martingale Inequalities, Embedding.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Preliminaries **2**

3 Martingale estimates **3**

1 Introduction

Hardy martingales developed alongside Banach spaces of analytic functions and played an important role in establishing their isomorphic invariants. For instance those martingales were employed in the construction of subspaces in L^1/H^1 isomorphic to L^1. An integrable Hardy martingale $F = (F_k)$ satisfies the L^1 estimate

$$\|\sup_k |F_k|\|_1 \leq e \sup_k \|F_k\|_1,$$

and it may be decomposed into the sum of Hardy martingales as $F = G + B$ such that

$$\|(\sum \mathbb{E}_{k-1}|\Delta_k G|^2)^{1/2}\|_1 + \sum \|\Delta B_k\|_1 \leq C\|F\|_1.$$

*Supported by the Austrian Science foundation (FWF) Pr.Nr. FWFP28352-N32.

See Garling, Bourgain, Mueller. Equally peculiar for Hardy martingales are the are the transform estimates

$$\|(\sum \mathbb{E}_{k-1}|\Delta_k G|^2)^{1/2}\|_1 \leq C\|(\sum \mathbb{E}_{k-1}|\Im w_{k-1}\Delta_k G|^2)^{1/2}\|_1,$$

for every adapted sequence (w_k) satisfying $|w_k| \geq 1/C$. A proof of Bourgain's theorem that L^1 embeds into L^1/H^1 may be obtained in the following way:

1. Use as starting point the estimates of the Garnett Jones Theorem.
2. Prove stability under dyadic perturbation for the Davis and Garsia Inequalities.
3. Prove stability under dyadic perturbation of the martingale transform estimates.

We determined the extent to which DGI are stable under dyadic perturbation, and we showed how the above strategy actually gives an isomorphism from L^1 into a subspace of L^1/H^1. In the present paper we turn to the martingale transform estimates and verify that they are indeed stable under dyadic perturbations.

2 Preliminaries

Martingales and Transforms on $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\mathbb{T} = \{e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi[\}$ be the torus equipped with the normalized angular measure. Let $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be its countable product equipped with the product Haar measure $\mathbb{P}$. We let $\mathbb{E}$ denote expectation with respect to $\mathbb{P}$.

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the cylinder sets $\{(A_1, \dots, A_k, \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})\}$, where A_i, $i \leq k$ are measurable subsets of $\mathbb{T}$, form the $\sigma-$algebra $\mathcal{F}_k$. Thus we obtain a filtered probability space $(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}, (\mathcal{F}_k), \mathbb{P})$. We let $\mathbb{E}_k$ denote the conditional expectation with respect to the $\sigma-$algebra $\mathcal{F}_k$. Let $G = (G_k)$ be an $L^1(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})-$bounded martingale. Conditioned on $\mathcal{F}_{k-1}$ the martingale difference $\Delta G_k = G_k - G_{k-1}$ defines an element in $L^1_0(\mathbb{T})$, the Lebesgue space of integrable, functions with vanishing mean. We define the previsible norm as

$$\|G\|_{\mathcal{P}} = \|(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_{k-1}|\Delta G_k|^2)^{1/2}\|_{L^1}, \tag{2.1}$$

and refer to $(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_{k-1}|\Delta G_k|^2)^{1/2}$ as the conditional square function of G.

For any bounded and adapted sequence $W = (w_k)$ we define the martingale transform operator T_W by

$$T_W(G) = \Im\left[\sum w_{k-1}\Delta_k G\right]. \tag{2.2}$$

Garsia [5] is our reference to martingale inequalities.

Sine-Cosine decomposition. Let $G = (G_k)$ be a martingale on $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with respect to the canonical product filtration $(\mathcal{F}_k)$. Let $U = (U_k)$ be the martingale defined by averaging

$$U_k(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}\left[G_k(x, y) + G_k(x, \overline{y})\right], \tag{2.3}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{T}^{k-1}$, $y \in \mathbb{T}$. The martingale U is called the cosine part of G. Putting $V_k = G_k - U_k$ we obtain the corresponding sine-martingale $V = (V_k)$, and the sine-cosine decomposition of G defined by

$$G = U + V.$$

By construction we have $\Delta V_k(x, y) = -\Delta V_k(x, \overline{y})$, and $U_k(x, y) = U_k(x, \overline{y})$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The Hilbert transform. The Hilbert transform on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ is defined as Fourier multiplier by

$$H(e^{in\theta}) = -i\text{sign}(n)e^{in\theta}.$$

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The Hardy space $H_0^p(\mathbb{T}) \subset L_0^p(\mathbb{T})$ consist of those $p-$integrable functions of vanishing mean, for which the harmonic extension to the unit disk is analytic. See [4]. For $h \in H_0^2(\mathbb{T})$ and let $y = \Im h$. The Hilbert transform recovers h from its imaginary part y , we have $h = -Hy + iy$. and $\|h\|_2 = \sqrt{2}\|y\|_2$. For $w \in \mathbb{C}$, $|w| = 1$ we have therefore

$$\|h\|_2 = \sqrt{2}\|y\|_2 = \sqrt{2}\|\Im(w \cdot h)\|_2.$$

3 Martingale estimates

Hardy martingales. An $L^1(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})$ bounded $(\mathcal{F}_k)$ martingale $G = (G_k)$ is called a Hardy martingale if conditioned on $\mathcal{F}_{k-1}$ the martingale difference ΔG_k defines an element in $H_0^1(\mathbb{T})$. See [3], [2]. [6, 7, 8]

Since the Hilbert transform, applied to functions with vanishing mean, preseves the L^2 norm, we have $\mathbb{E}_{k-1}|\Delta U_k|^2 = \mathbb{E}_{k-1}|\Im w_{k-1}\Delta G_k|^2$, for each adapted sequence $W = (w_k)$ with $|w_k| = 1$, and consequently,

$$\|(\sum \mathbb{E}_{k-1}|\Delta U_k|^2)^{1/2}\|_1 = \|(\sum \mathbb{E}_{k-1}|\Im w_{k-1}\Delta G_k|^2)^{1/2}\|_1. \tag{3.1}$$

We restate (3.1) as $\|U\|_{\mathcal{P}} = \|T_W(G)\|_{\mathcal{P}}$, where $T_W(G) = \Im\left[\sum w_{k-1}\Delta_k(G)\right]$. In this paper we show that the lower $\mathcal{P}$ norm estimate $\|U\|_{\mathcal{P}} \leq \|T_W(G)\|_{\mathcal{P}}$, is stable under dyadic perturbation.

Dyadic martingales. The dyadic sigma-algebra on $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is defined with Rademacher functions. For $x = (x_k) \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ define $\cos_k(x) = \Re x_k$ and

$$\sigma_k(x) = \text{sign}(\cos_k(x)).$$

We let $\mathcal{D}$ be the sigma- algebra generated by $\{\sigma_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and call it the dyadic sigma-algebra on $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let $G \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}})$ with sine cosine decomposition $G = U + V$, then $\mathbb{E}(U_k|\mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{E}(G_k|\mathcal{D})$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence

$$U - \mathbb{E}(U|\mathcal{D}) + V = G - \mathbb{E}(G|\mathcal{D}).$$

Our principle result asserts stability for (3.1) under dyadic perturbations as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $G = (G_k)_{k=1}^n$ be a martingale and let $U = (U_k)_{k=1}^n$ be its cosine martngale given by* (2.3)*. Then, for any adapted sequence $W = (w_k)$ satisfying $|w_k| = 1$, we have*

$$\|U - \mathbb{E}(U|\mathcal{D})\|_{\mathcal{P}} \leq C\|T_W(G - \mathbb{E}(G|\mathcal{D}))\|_{\mathcal{P}}^{1/2}\|G\|_{\mathcal{P}}^{1/2}, \tag{3.2}$$

where T_W is the martingale transform operator defined by (2.2)*.*

Define $\sigma \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ by $\sigma(\zeta) = \text{sign}\Re\zeta$. Note that $\sigma(\zeta) = \sigma(\overline{\zeta})$, for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. For $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ we put $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f\overline{g}dm$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $h \in H_0^2(\mathbb{T})$, and $u(z) = (h(z) + h(\overline{z}))/2$. Then for $w, b \in \mathbb{C}$, with $|w| = 1$,*

$$\Im^2(w \cdot (\langle u, \sigma\rangle - b)) + \Re^2(w \cdot \langle u, \sigma\rangle) + \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u - \langle u, \sigma\rangle\sigma|^2 dm = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Im^2(w \cdot (h - b\sigma))dm$$

PROOF. First put $w_0 = 1_{\mathbb{T}}$, $w_1 = \sigma$, and choose any orthonormal system $\{w_k : k \geq 2\}$ in $L^2_G(\mathbb{T})$ so that $\{w_k : k \geq 0\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2_G(\mathbb{T})$. Then $\{w_k, Hw_k : k \geq 0\}$, where H the Hilbert transform, is a orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. Moreover in the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ the analytic system

$$\{(w_k + iHw_k) : k \geq 0\}$$

is an orthogonal basis with $\|w_k + iHw_k\|_2 = \sqrt{2}$, $k \geq 1$.

Fix $h \in H^2_0(\mathbb{T})$ and $w, b \in \mathbb{C}$, with $|w| = 1$. Clearly by replacing h by wh and b by wb it suffices to prove the lemma with $w = 1$. Since $\int u = 0$ we have that

$$u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n w_n.$$

We apply the Hilbert transform and rearrange terms to get

$$h - b\sigma = (c_1 - b)\sigma + ic_1 H\sigma + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n(w_n + iHw_n). \tag{3.3}$$

Then, taking imaginary parts gives

$$\Im(h - b\sigma) = \Im(c_1 - b)\sigma + \Re c_1 H\sigma + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Im c_n w_n + \Re c_n H w_n. \tag{3.4}$$

By ortho-gonality the identity (3.4) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \Im^2(h - b\sigma)dm = \Im^2(c_1 - b) + \Re^2 c_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |c_n|^2. \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand, since $\int u = 0$, $c_1 = \langle u, \sigma\rangle$, and $w_1 = \sigma$ we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |u - \langle u, \sigma\rangle\sigma|^2 dm = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |c_n|^2. \tag{3.6}$$

Comparing the equations (3.5) and (3.6) completes the proof.

■

We use below some arithmetic, that we isolate first.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mu, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and*

$$|\mu| + \frac{|\mu - b|^2}{|\mu| + |b|} = a. \tag{3.7}$$

Then for any $w \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$(a - |b|)^2 \leq 4(\Im^2(w \cdot (\mu - b)) + \Re^2(w \cdot \mu)). \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$|\mu - b|^2 \leq 2(a^2 - |\mu|^2). \tag{3.9}$$

PROOF. By rotation invariance it suffices to prove (3.8) for $w = 1$. Let $\mu = m_1 + im_2$ and $b = b_1 + ib_2$. By definition (3.7), we have

$$a - |b| = \frac{|\mu|^2 - |b|^2 + |\mu - b|^2}{|\mu| + |b|}.$$

Expand and regroup the numerator

$$|\mu|^2 - |b|^2 + |\mu - b|^2 = 2m_1(m_1 - b_1) + 2m_2(m_2 - b_2). \tag{3.10}$$

By the Cauchy Schwarz inequality, the right hand side (3.10) is bounded by

$$2(m_1^2 + (m_2 - b_2)^2)^{1/2}(m_2^2 + (m_1 - b_1)^2)^{1/2}.$$

Note that $m_1 = \Re\mu$ and $m_2 - b_2 = \Im(\mu - b)$. It remains to observe that

$$(m_2^2 + (m_1 - b_1)^2)^{1/2} \leq |\mu| + |b|.$$

or equivalently

$$m_1^2 + m_2^2 - 2m_1 b_2 + b_1^2 \leq |\mu|^2 + 2|\mu||b| + |b|^2,$$

which is obviously true.

Next we turn to verifying (3.9). We have $a^2 - |\mu|^2 = (a + |\mu|)(a - |\mu|)$ hence

$$a^2 - |\mu|^2 = \left[2|\mu| + \frac{|\mu - b|^2}{|\mu| + |b|}\right] \frac{|\mu - b|^2}{|\mu| + |b|}. \tag{3.11}$$

In view of (3.11) we get (3.9) by showing that

$$2|\mu|^2 + 2|\mu||b| + |\mu - b|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}(|\mu| + |b|)^2. \tag{3.12}$$

The left hand side of (3.12) is larger than $|\mu|^2 + |b|^2$ while the right hand side of (3.12) is smaller $|\mu|^2 + |b|^2$.

■

We merge the inequalities of Lemma 3.3 with the identity in Lemma 3.2.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $h \in H_0^2(\mathbb{T})$. If $u(z) = (h(z) + h(\overline{z}))/2$ and*

$$|\langle u, \sigma\rangle| + \frac{|\langle u, \sigma\rangle - b|^2}{|\langle u, \sigma\rangle| + |b|} = a,$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |u - b\sigma|^2 dm \leq 8(a^2 - |\langle u, \sigma\rangle|^2) + \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u - \langle u, \sigma\rangle\sigma|^2 dm. \tag{3.13}$$

and for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$, with $|w| = 1$,

$$(a - |b|)^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u - \langle u, \sigma\rangle\sigma|^2 dm \leq 8 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Im^2(w \cdot (h - b\sigma)) dm. \tag{3.14}$$

PROOF. Put

$$J^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Im^2(w \cdot (h - b\sigma))dm. \tag{3.15}$$

The proof exploits the basic identities for the integral J^2 and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} |u-b\sigma|^2 dm$ and intertwines them with the arithmetic (3.7) – (3.9).

Step 1. Use the straight forward identity,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |u - b\sigma|^2 dm = |\langle u, \sigma\rangle - b|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u - \langle u, \sigma\rangle\sigma|^2 dm. \tag{3.16}$$

Apply (3.9), so that

$$|\langle u, \sigma\rangle - b|^2 \leq 8(a^2 - |\langle u, \sigma\rangle|^2),$$

hence by (3.16) we get (3.13),

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |u - b\sigma|^2 dm \leq 8(a^2 - |\langle u, \sigma\rangle|^2) + \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u - \langle u, \sigma\rangle\sigma|^2 dm.$$

Step 2. The identity of Lemma 3.2 gives

$$\Im^2(w \cdot (\langle u, \sigma\rangle - b)) + \Re^2(w \cdot \langle u, \sigma\rangle) + \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u - \langle u, \sigma\rangle\sigma|^2 dm = J^2. \tag{3.17}$$

Apply (3.8) with $\mu = \langle u, \sigma\rangle$ to the left hand side in (3.17), and get (3.14),

$$(a - |b|)^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u - \langle u, \sigma\rangle\sigma|^2 dm \leq 8J^2.$$

■

Proof of Theorem 3.2

Let $\{g_k\}$ be the martingale difference sequence of the Hardy martingale $G = (G_k)$, and let $\{u_k\}$ be the martingale difference sequence of the associated cosine martingale $U = (U_k)$. By convexity we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mathbb{E}_{k-1}(u_k\sigma_k)|^2)^{1/2} = \mathbb{E}\mathbb{E}((\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mathbb{E}_{k-1}(u_k\sigma_k)|^2)^{1/2}|\mathcal{D}) \geq \mathbb{E}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}_{k-1}(u_k\sigma_k)|\mathcal{D})|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Put $b_k = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}_{k-1}(u_k\sigma_k)|\mathcal{D})$ and note that $\mathbb{E}(u_k|\mathcal{D}) = b_k\sigma_k$.

Step 1. Let $Y^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mathbb{E}_{k-1}(u_k\sigma_k)|^2$ and $Z^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k|^2$. Then restating the above convexity estimate we have

$$\mathbb{E}(Y) \geq \mathbb{E}(Z). \tag{3.18}$$

Step 2. Since $\mathbb{E}(g_k|\mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{E}(u_k|\mathcal{D})$, the square of the conditioned square functions of $T_W(G - \mathbb{E}(G|\mathcal{D}))$ coincides with

$$\sum \mathbb{E}_{k-1}|\Im(w_{k-1} \cdot (g_k - b_k\sigma_k))|^2. \tag{3.19}$$

Step 3. The sequence $\{u_k - b_k\sigma_k\}$ is the martingale difference sequence of $U - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}(U)$. The square of its conditioned square functions is hence given by

$$\sum \mathbb{E}_{k-1}|u_k - b_k\sigma_k|^2. \tag{3.20}$$

Following the pattern of (3.7) define

$$a_k = |\mathbb{E}_{k-1}(u_k\sigma_k)| + \frac{|\mathbb{E}_{k-1}(u_k\sigma_k) - b_k|^2}{|\mathbb{E}_{k-1}(u_k\sigma_k)| + |b_k|},$$

and

$$v_k = u_k - \mathbb{E}_{k-1}(u_k\sigma_k)\sigma_k, \qquad r_k^2 = \mathbb{E}_{k-1}|v_k|^2.$$

By (3.13)

$$\mathbb{E}_{k-1}|u_k - b_k\sigma_k|^2 \le 8(a_k^2 + r_k^2 - |\mathbb{E}_{k-1}^2(u_k\sigma_k)|). \tag{3.21}$$

Step 4. With $X^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^2 + r_k^2$, we have the obvious pointwise estimate, $X \ge Y$. Taking into account (3.21) gives

$$\|U - \mathbb{E}(U|\mathcal{D})\|_{\mathcal{P}} \le \sqrt{8}\mathbb{E}(X^2 - Y^2)^{1/2} \le \sqrt{8}(\mathbb{E}(X - Y))^{1/2}(\mathbb{E}(X + Y))^{1/2}. \tag{3.22}$$

The factor $\mathbb{E}(X + Y)$ in (3.22) admitts an upper bound by

$$\mathbb{E}(X + Y) \le C\|U\|_{\mathcal{P}} \le C\|G\|_{\mathcal{P}}. \tag{3.23}$$

Step 5. Next we turn to estimates for $\mathbb{E}(X - Y)$. By (3.18), $\mathbb{E}(X - Y) \le \mathbb{E}(X - Z)$, and by triangle inequality

$$X - Z \le (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(a_k - |b_k|)^2 + r_k^2)^{1/2}.$$

By (3.14)

$$(a_k - |b_k|)^2 + r_k^2 \le 8\mathbb{E}_{k-1}|\Im(w_{k-1} \cdot (g_k - b_k\sigma_k))|^2,$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{E}(X - Z) \le C\|T_W(G - \mathbb{E}(G|\mathcal{D}))\|_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

Invoking (3.22) and (3.23) completes the proof.

■

References

[1] J. Bourgain. Embedding L^1 in L^1/H^1. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 278(2):689–702, 1983.

[2] D. J. H. Garling. On martingales with values in a complex Banach space. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 104(2):399–406, 1988.

[3] D. J. H. Garling. Hardy martingales and the unconditional convergence of martingales. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 23(2):190–192, 1991.

[4] J. B. Garnett. *Bounded analytic functions*, volume 96 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1981.

[5] A. M. Garsia. *Martingale inequalities: Seminar notes on recent progress*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1973. Mathematics Lecture Notes Series.

[6] P. F. X. Müller. A Decomposition for Hardy Martingales. *Indiana. Univ. Math. J.*, 61(5):x1–x15, 2012.

[7] P. F. X. Müller. A decomposition for Hardy martingales II. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 157(2):189–207, 2014.

[8] P. F. X. Müller. A decomposition for Hardy martingales III. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, FirstView:1–17, 2016.

Department of Mathematics
J. Kepler Universität Linz
A-4040 Linz
paul.mueller@jku.at